



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/713,962	11/15/2000	Alain T. Rappaport	04239.P002	04239.P002 5197	
20995	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	ARTENS OLSON & BEA	FRENEL, VANEL			
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			3626		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/713,962	RAPPAPORT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vanel Frenel	3626		

1			1			
	Vanel Frenel	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<u> </u>	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	a filed within two man	the of the data			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
	had make As Ab a data of 600 at 100					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		gootoa oranno.				
		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable if Subfiltited iff a Separate	, timery filed afficient	ieni cancenng			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .			-			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u>						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a National Na	Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u>	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
		HYUNG SOUGH	,			
	CHDEDVI	SORY PATENT EXAM	INER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3: The proposed amended claim 1 recites the limitations of "at least some of", "at least some of", "by a user", "from the portable terminal", "server via a first network capable of providing communication between the portable terminal and the server system, wherein said wirelessly transmitting causes the healthcare data corresponding to the code to be provided to a medical patient via a second network capable of providing communication between the server system and a patient accessible device" and claim 9 recites the limitations of "server system", "server system", "wherein transmission of the desired codes causes corresponding healthcare data to be provided to a medical patient via a second network, wherein the second network is adapted to provide communication between the server system and a patient accessible device", and claim 12 recites the limitations of "device", "first", "and", "device", "second", "wherein the healthcare information is related to the corresponding code", "wherein the", "device is capable of facilitating communication", and claim 18 recites the limitations of "device", "device", "device", "wherein the second network is capable of providing communication between the first server and a patient accessible device" and claim 21 recites the tlimitations of "server system via a first network capable of providing communication between the portable terminal and a server system, wherein said wirelessly transmitting causes at least some of the healthcare data to be provided to the patient via a second network capable of providing communication between the server system and a patient accessible device" are significant change in the scope of claims as originally presented require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11: Applicant's request for consideration does Not place the application in condition for allowance because Applicant argues the limitations that have not been entered as of the present communication, and Applicant's remarks fail to consider the full teachings of the applied references in the manner discussed in the prior Office Action. Others arguments presented appear to rehash issues addressed in the Final Rejection of the 04/29/05.